

PILLSBURY WINTHROP SHAW PITTMAN LLP
SHERI FLAME EISNER #162776
sheri.eisner@pillsburylaw.com
DAVID L. STANTON # 208079
david.stanton@pillsburylaw.com
725 South Figueroa Street, Suite 2800
Los Angeles, CA 90017-5406
Telephone: (213) 488-7100
Facsimile: (213) 629-1033

PILLSBURY WINTHROP SHAW PITTMAN LLP
JOHN M. GRENFELL #88500
john.grenfell@pillsburylaw.com
50 Fremont Street
Post Office Box 7880
San Francisco, CA 94120-7880
Telephone: (415) 983-1000
Facsimile: (415) 983-1200

Attorneys for Defendant
NETWORK SOLUTIONS, LLC

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION

DOE, Individually And On Behalf Of All
Others Similarly Situated,

Plaintiff,

vs.

NETWORK SOLUTIONS, LLC,

Defendant.

No. C 07-5115 JSW

[PROPOSED] ORDER GRANTING
DEFENDANT'S MOTION TO
DISMISS PURSUANT TO FEDERAL
RULE OF CIVIL PROCEDURE
12(b)(1)

Judge: Hon. Jeffrey S. White
Date: January 25, 2008
Time: 9:00 a.m.
CrtRm: 2

1 Defendant Network Solutions LLC's ("Network Solutions") Motion To Dismiss
2 Pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 12(b)(1) for lack of subject matter jurisdiction
3 came on regularly for hearing in room 2 of this Court on January 25, 2008 at 9:00 a.m.
4 before the Honorable Jeffrey S. White. Network Solutions was represented by the law firm
5 of Pillsbury Winthrop Shaw Pittman LLP and plaintiff Doe ("Plaintiff") was represented by
6 the law firm of Gutride Safier Reese LLP.

7 After full consideration of the pleadings, records and files herein, including oral
8 argument by both parties, and the authorities submitted by counsel, the Court finds that
9 Plaintiff improperly filed this proposed class action anonymously in violation of Federal
10 Rule of Civil Procedure 10(a) and 17(a). This is based on the following: Plaintiff did not
11 obtain prior court approval for an anonymous filing; Plaintiff failed to identify himself in
12 the Complaint; and Plaintiff's Complaint does not establish any ground for an anonymous
13 filing. As a result, there is no real party in interest or person with Article III standing to
14 pursue the alleged claims. Thus, this Court lacks subject matter jurisdiction.

15 Based on these findings, the Court GRANTS Defendant's Motion to Dismiss
16 pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 12(b)(1). This action is hereby DISMISSED.

17 Dated: _____
18

19 _____
Jeffrey S. White
Judge of the United States District Court
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28